arXiv:1703.03276v1 [math.GR] 9 Mar 2017

INTERSECTION OF MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS IN PROSOLVABLE GROUPS

IKER DE LAS HERAS AND ANDREA LUCCHINI

Abstract. Let H be an open subgroup of a profinite group that can be expressed as intersection of maximal subgroups of G. Given a positive real number η, we say that H is an η-intersection if there exists a family of maximal subgroups $M_1, \dots, M_t$ such that $H = M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_t$ and $|G : M_1| \cdots |G : M_t| \leq |G : H|^\eta$. We investigate the meaning of this property and its influence on the group structure.

1. Introduction

Let G be a (topologically) finitely generated profinite group. We write $c_n(G)$ to express the number of (open) subgroups of G of index n which are intersections of maximal subgroups of G. We will say that $c_n(G)$ is polynomially bounded if there exists β independent of n such that $c_n(G) \leq n^\beta$. Mann asked the following question [5, Problem 4]:

Question 1. *What are the groups for which $c_n(G)$ is polynomially bounded?*

This question is related with the discussion of a conjecture proposed by Mann in the same paper. A profinite group G is positively finitely generated (PFG) if for some finite k, a random k-tuple of elements generates G with probability $P(G,k) > 0$. Mann conjectured that if G is PFG, then the Dirichlet series

$$P(G,s) = \sum_H \mu(H,G)|G:H|^{-s},$$

defines an analytic function on some right half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$ and takes the values $P(G,k)$ for (sufficiently large) $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (here H ranges over the lattice of all open subgroups of G and μ is the Möbius function associated to this lattice). To establish this, it is sufficient to verify that $P(G,s)$ converges absolutely in some right half-plane; this is the case if and only if

(1) $|\mu(H,G)|$ is bounded by a polynomial function of $|G:H|$;
(2) the number $b_n(G)$ of open subgroups H of index n satisfying $\mu(H,G) \neq 0$ grows at most polynomially in n.

As it is noticed in [5] p. 447, if H is an open subgroup of G and $\mu(H,G) \neq 0$, then H is an intersection of maximal subgroups, hence $b_n(G) \leq c_n(G)$ and condition (2) is satisfied if $c_n(G)$ is polynomially bounded. A celebrated result of Mann and Shalev [7] states that a profinite group is PFG if and only if it has polynomial maximal subgroup growth (PMSG). Since $\mu(M,G) = -1$ for any maximal subgroup M of G, it must be $m_n(G) \leq b_n(G) \leq c_n(G)$ (where $m_n(G)$ denotes the number of maximal subgroups of G with index n). In particular, if $c_n(G)$ grows polynomially, then G has PMSG. A natural question that arises from these considerations is the following:

Question 2. *Is $c_n(G)$ polynomially bounded when G has PMSG?*

In [4], Mann's conjecture about the absolutely convergence of $P(G,s)$ has been proved in the particular case when G is a finitely generated prosolvable groups (recall that every finitely generated prosolvable group G has PMSG [5, Theorem 10]). In that paper it is proved that if G is a finitely generated prosolvable, then $b_n(G)$ is polynomially bounded but is still unknown whether $c_n(G)$ is also polynomially bounded. In this paper, we want to investigate whether the methods employed in [4] to prove that $b_n(G)$ is polynomially bounded, can be adapted to study the behaviour of $c_n(G)$. The main observation in [4] is that if G is a prosolvable group and H is an open subgroup of G with $\mu(H,G) \neq 0$, then the maximal subgroups $M_1, \ldots, M_t$ such that $H = M_1 \cap \ldots \cap M_t$ can be chosen with the extra property that $|G : M_1| \cdots |G : M_t| = |G : H|$. On the other hand, what is really useful to bound the sequence $b_n(G)$ is not the equality $|G : M_1| \cdots |G : M_t| = |G : H|$ but that existence of a constant η, independent on the choice of H, such that $|G : M_1| \cdots |G : M_t| \leq |G : H|^\eta$. This suggests the following definitions:

Definition 1. *Let G be a finite group and let η be a positive real number. We say that a maximal intersection H in G is an η-intersection if there exists a family of maximal subgroups $M_1, \ldots, M_t$ such that:*

(1) $H = M_1 \cap \ldots \cap M_t$.
(2) $|G : M_1| \cdots |G : M_t| \leq |G : H|^\eta$.

Definition 2. *Let G be a finite group and let η be a positive real number. We say that G has the η-intersection property if every maximal intersection in G is an η-intersection.*

Definition 3. *Let G be a profinite group. We say that G has the bounded intersection property if there exists a positive real number η such that every open subgroup H of G which is an intersection of maximal subgroups of G is an η-intersection.*

Clearly a profinite group G has the bounded intersection property if and only if there exists a positive real number η such that G/N has the η-intersection property for every open normal subgroup N of G.

The connection between the previous definitions and Question 1 is clarified by the following Proposition.

Proposition 4. *Suppose that G is a profinite group with polynomial maximal subgroup growth. If G has the bounded intersection properties, then there exists a constant β such that $c_n(G) \leq n^\beta$.*

Other two definitions will play a relevant role in our investigation of the bounded intersection property.

Definition 5. *Let G be a finite group, V an irreducible G-module, $F = \mathrm{End}_G(V)$ and γ a positive integer. We say that V is a γ-module if, for every F-subspace W of V, there exists an F-subspace W^* of V such that:*

(1) $\dim_F(W^*) \leq \gamma$.
(2) $C_G(W) = C_G(W^*) \cap (\cap_{M \in \mathcal{M}_W} M)$ where $\mathcal{M}_W$ is the set of the maximal subgroups of G containing $C_G(W)$.

Definition 6. *Let G be a profinite group. We say that G has the bounded chief factors property if the exists a positive integer γ such that every complemented chief factor of G is a γ-module.*

Let M be a maximal subgroup of G and denote by $Y_M = \bigcap_{g\in G} M^g$ the normal core of M in G and by X_M/Y_M the socle of the primitive permutation group G/Y_M (in its action on the right cosets of M/Y_M in G/Y_M): clearly X_M/Y_M is a chief factor of G and M/Y_M is a complement of X_M/Y_M in G/Y_M. Now assume that H is a maximal intersection in G: we will denote by $\mathcal{V}_H$ the set of the irreducible G-module which are isomorphic to X_M/Y_M for some maximal subgroup M of G containing H. We will prove:

Theorem 7. *Let G be a finite solvable group and let H be a maximal intersection in G. If every $V \in \mathcal{V}_H$ is a γ-module, then H has the $(\gamma+1)$-intersection property.*

Corollary 8. *Let G be a finite solvable group. If there exists γ such that every complemented chief factor of G is a γ-module, then G has the $(\gamma+1)$-intersection property.*

We will also prove a converse result:

Theorem 9. *Let G be a finite solvable group. If G has the η-intersection property, then every complemented chief factor is a $\lfloor \eta \cdot c \rfloor$-module, with $c \backsimeq 3.243$, the Pálfy-Wolf constant.*

In particular we deduce:

Theorem 10. *A prosolvable group G has the bounded intersection property if and only if it has the bounded chief factors property.*

Clearly if V is an irreducible G-module and $\dim_{\mathrm{End}_G(V)} V \leq \gamma$, then V is a γ-module. So the following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4 and Theorem 10.

Corollary 11. *Let G be a finitely generated prosolvable. If there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\dim_{\mathrm{End}_G(V)} V \leq \gamma$ for every irreducible G-module G-isomorphic to a complemented chief factor of G, then $c_n(G)$ is polynomially bounded.*

An application of the previous result, is the following:

Corollary 12. *Let G be a finitely generated prosolvable group. If the derived subgroup is pronilpotent, then $c_n(G)$ is polynomially bounded.*

In particular, we may apply Corollary 12 to the class of the finitely generated prosupersolvable groups. However, even if the number of intersections of maximal subgroups in a finitely generated prosupersolvable group G grows polynomially with respect to the index, it may happen that the amount of such subgroups is really "big" comparing with the number of subgroups of G with non-zero Möbius number. Let us denote by $\tilde{\beta}_n(G)$ the number of conjugacy classes of subgroups with index at most n and with non-zero Möbius number and by $\tilde{\gamma}_n(G)$ the number of conjugacy classes of subgroups with index at most n that are intersection of maximal subgroups. In Section 4 we will construct a 2-generated prosupersolvable group with the properly that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_n(G)}{\tilde{\gamma}_n(G)} = 0.$$

We don't know examples of prosolvable groups that don't satisfy the bounded chief factors property. A positive answer to the following intriguing question, will imply that all the prosolvable groups have the bounded chief factors property.

Question 3. *Does there exists a constant γ such that, for every finite solvable group G, all the irreducible G-modules are γ-modules?*

Notice that we can strengthen the definition of γ-module, setting that an irreducible G-module V is a strong γ-module if, for every $\mathrm{End}_G(V)$-subspace W of V, there exists an $\mathrm{End}_G(V)$-subspace W^* of V such $\dim_{\mathrm{End}_G(V)}(W^*) \leq \gamma$ and $C_G(W) = C_G(W^*)$.

Question 4. *Does there exists a constant γ such that, for every finite solvable group G, all the irreducible G-modules are strongly γ-modules?*

2. Preliminary results

In this section we will give the proof of Proposition 4 and we will recall the main properties of the crowns of a finite solvable group. Moreover we will start to study the maximal intersections in a relevant case.

Proof of Proposition 4. Since G has PMSG, there exists α such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of maximal subgroups of G with index n is bounded by n^α. Now, for $n \neq 1$, we want to count the number of subgroups H with $|G : H| = n$ which are intersections of maximal subgroups. By assumption there exists an integer η with the property that, if we fix such an $H \leq G$, then there is a family of maximal subgroups $M_1, \ldots, M_t$ such that $H = \cap_{1 \leq i \leq t} M_i$ and $n_1 \ldots n_t \leq n^\eta$, where $n_i = |G : M_i|$. There are at most

$$1 + 2 + \ldots + n^\eta = \frac{n^\eta(n^\eta + 1)}{2}$$

possible factorizations of positive integers $\leq n^\eta$ (see [6]), and for each fixed factorization $n_1 \ldots n_t$, there are at most n_i^α choices for the maximal subgroup M_i of index n_i. Therefore, there are at most $n_1^\alpha \ldots n_t^\alpha \leq n^{\eta \cdot \alpha}$ choices for the family $M_1, \ldots, M_t$, and we conclude that

$$c_n(G) \leq \frac{n^\eta(n^\eta + 1)}{2} n^{\eta \cdot \alpha}.$$

Obviously, we always can find a constant β such that

$$\frac{n^\eta(n^\eta + 1)}{2} n^{\eta \cdot \alpha} \leq n^\beta,$$

for any $n \geq 1$, so the proof is complete. □

Let G be a finite solvable group and let M be a maximal subgroup of G and denote by $Y_M = \bigcap_{g \in G} M^g$ the normal core of M in G and by X_M/Y_M the socle of the primitive permutation group G/Y_M: clearly X_M/Y_M is a chief factor of G and M/Y_M is a complement of X_M/Y_M in G/Y_M. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of maximal subgroups of G, let $\mathcal{V}$ be a set of representatives of the irreducible G-modules that are G-isomorphic to some chief factor of G having a complement and, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, let $\mathcal{M}_V$ be the set of maximal subgroups M of G with $X_M/Y_M \cong_G V$.

Recall some results by Gaschütz [3]. Given $V \in \mathcal{V}$, let

$$R_G(A) = \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{M}_V} M.$$

It turns out that $R_G(A)$ is the smallest normal subgroup contained in $C_G(A)$ with the property that $C_G(A)/R_G(A)$ is G-isomorphic to a direct product of copies of A and it has a complement in $G/R_G(A)$. The factor group $C_G(A)/R_G(A)$ is called the A-crown of G. The non-negative integer $\delta_G(A)$ defined by $C_G(A)/R_G(A) \cong_G A^{\delta_G(A)}$ is called the A-rank of G and it coincides with the number of complemented factors in any chief series of G that are G-isomorphic to A (see for example [1, Section 1.3]). In particular $G/R_G(A) \cong A^{\delta_G(A)} \rtimes H$, with $H \cong G/C_G(A)$.

Lemma 13. [1, Lemma 1.3.6] *Let G be a finite solvable group with trivial Frattini subgroup. There exists a crown C/R and a non trivial normal subgroup D of G such that $C = R \times D$.*

Lemma 14. [2, Proposition 11] *Assume that G is a finite solvable group with trivial Frattini subgroup and let C, R, D be as in the statement of Lemma 13. If $HD = HR = G$, then $H = G$.*

For a fixed $V \in \mathcal{V}$, we want to study which subgroups of G can be obtained as intersection of maximal subgroups in $\mathcal{M}_V$. Since $R_G(V) \leq M$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_V$, we are indeed asking which subgroups of the semidirect product $G/R_G(A) \cong V^{\delta_G(H)} \rtimes G/C_G(V)$, can be obtained as intersection of maximal supplements of the socle $V^{\delta_G(H)}$. So assume that H is a solvable group acting irreducibly and faithfully on an elementary abelian p-group V and, for a positive integer t, consider the semidirect product $G = V^t \rtimes H$, where we assume that the action of H is diagonal on V^t, that is, H acts in the same way on each of the direct factors. The aim of the remaining part of this section is to describe which subgroups of G can be obtained as intersections of maximal subgroups of G supplementing V^t. Notice that M is a maximal in G supplementing V^t if and only if $M = WH^v$ with W a maximal H-submodule of V^t and $v \in V^t$.

Lemma 15. *Let $G = V^t \rtimes H$ be a finite solvable group such that V is a faithful irreducible H-module. Let $K = W_1X$ and $M = W_2H^{v_2}$, where W_1 is a proper H-submodule of V^t, W_2 is maximal submodule of V^t, $X \leq H^{v_1}$ for some $v_1 \in V^t$ and $v_2 \in V^t$.*

i) If $W_1 + W_2 = V^t$, then there exists $w_1 \in W_1$ such that $K \cap M = (W_1 \cap W_2)X^{w_1}$.
ii) If $W_1 \leq W_2$, then there exists $u \in V$ such that $K \cap M = W_1C_X(u)$.

Proof. Assume $W_1 + W_2 = V^t$. There exist $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$ such that $v_1 - v_2 = w_2 - w_1$, or equivalently, $v_1 + w_1 = v_2 + w_2$. Thus, we have

$$X^{w_1} \leq (H^{v_1})^{w_1} = H^{v_1+w_1} = H^{v_2+w_2},$$

In particular

$$(W_1 \cap W_2)X^{w_1} \leq W_1X^{w_1} = W_1X = K,$$
$$(W_1 \cap W_2)X^{w_1} \leq (W_1 \cap W_2)H^{v_2+w_2} \leq W_2H^{v_2+w_2} = W_2H^{v_2} = M.$$

Moreover, let $y_1x = y_2h$ be an element of $K \cap M$ with $y_1 \in W_1$, $x \in X^{w_1}$, $y_2 \in W_2$ and $h \in H^{v_2+w_2}$. We have $y_1 - y_2 = hx^{-1} \in V^t \cap H^{v_2+w_2} = 1$, so it follows that $y_1 = y_2$ and $h = x$. Hence, $K \cap M \leq (W_1 \cap W_2)X^{w_1}$, and since we proved above the other inclusion, we have the equality, as we wanted.

Assume now that $W_1 \leq W_2$. We observe that

$$K \cap M = W_1X \cap W_2H^{v_2} = W_1X \cap W_2X \cap W_2H^{v_2}.$$

If $W_2X \leq W_2H^{v_2}$, then

$$K \cap M = W_1X \cap W_2X \cap W_2H^{v_2} = W_1X \cap W_2X = W_1X = K$$

and we are done. So, we may assume $W_2X \not\leq W_2H^{v_2}$. This, in particular, implies $W_2H^{v_1} \neq W_2H^{v_2}$ and consequently, $v_2 - v_1 \notin W_2$. Since V^t is a completely reducible H-module, there exists an H-submodule U of V^t such that $V^t = W_2 \times U$. Thus, there exists a non-trivial element $u \in U$ such that $v_2 - v_1 = w + u$ with $w \in W_2$. Hence, $H^{v_2-w} = H^{v_1+u}$ and

$$M = W_2H^{v_2} = W_2H^{v_2-w} = W_2(H^{v_1})^u.$$

Let us show that $K \cap M = W_1C_X(u)$. Note that $C_X(u) = C_X(u)^u \leq (H^{v_1})^u$, so it is clear that $W_1C_X(u) \leq K \cap M$. In order to prove the other inclusion let $w_1x = w_2h^u$ be an element of $K \cap M$ with $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, $x \in X$ and $h \in H^{v_1}$. Note that $w_1x = w_2h^u = w_2[u, h^{-1}]h$, and since H normalizes U, it follows that $[U, H] \leq U$. So, $w_1 - w_2 - [u, h^{-1}] = hx^{-1} \in V^t \cap H^{v_1} = 1$, or in other words, $w_1 - w_2 = [u, h^{-1}]$ and $h = x$. Moreover, $w_1 - w_2 = [u, h^{-1}] \in W_2 \cap U = 0$, so $w_1 = w_2$ and $[u, h^{-1}] = [u, h] = [u, x] = 0$. Therefore, $x \in C_X(u)$ and $K \cap M \leq W_1C_X(u)$, so that $K \cap M = W_1C_X(u)$, and we are done. □

Theorem 16. *Let $G = V^t \rtimes H$ be a finite solvable group such that V is a faithful irreducible H-module. Let $M_1 = W_1H^{v_1}, \ldots, M_n = W_nH^{v_n}$ be maximal subgroups of G supplementing V^t, with W_i a maximal H-submodule of V^t and $v_i \in V^t$ for every i. Then, we have*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} M_i = UC_{H^*}(Z)$$

where $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} W_i$, H^* is a conjugate of H and Z is an $\mathrm{End}_H(V)$-subspace of V.

Proof. Set $U_j := \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq j} W_i$. Reordering the maximal subgroups, we may assume

$$V^t > U_1 > U_2 > \ldots > U_{t^*} = \ldots = U_n = U$$

for a suitable $1 \leq t^* \leq n$. First we prove, by induction on j, that for every $j \leq t^*$ there exists $w_j \in V^t$ such that

$$M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_j = U_jH^{w_j}.$$

This is clear if $j = 1$. Assume $1 < j \leq t^*$. By induction $K := M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_{j-1} = U_{j-1}H^{w_{j-1}}$ for some $w_{j-1} \in V^t$. Since $U_j = U_{j-1} \cap W_j < U_{j-1}$, we have $U_{j-1} + W_j = V^t$ and therefore, by Lemma 15,

$$M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_j = K \cap M_j = U_jH^{v_j}$$

for some $w_j \in V^t$. In particular

$$M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_{t^*} = UH^*$$

with H^* a suitable conjugate of H and $U \cong_H V^{t-t^*}$. Now consider $0 \leq i \leq n - t^*$. We prove, again by induction, that, for every $0 \leq i \leq n - t^*$, there exists an $\mathrm{End}_H(V)$-subspace Z_i of V with

$$M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_{t^*} \cap \cdots \cap M_{t^*+i} = UC_{H^*}(Z_i).$$

When $i = 0$, we just take $Z_0 = \{0\}$. If $i > 0$, then by induction there exists Z_{i-1} such that $K = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_{t^*} \cap \cdots \cap M_{t^*+i-1} = UC_{H^*}(Z_{i-1})$. Since $U \leq W_{t^*+i}$, it follows from Lemma 15 that there exists $z_i \in V$ such that

$$M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_{t^*+i} = K \cap M_{t^*+i} = MC_{C_{H^*}(Z_{i-1})}(z_i) = MC_{H^*}(Z_i),$$

being Z_i the $\mathrm{End}_H(V)$-subspace of V spanned by Z_{i-1} and z_i. □

Theorem 17. *Let $G = V^t \rtimes H$ be a finite solvable group such that V is a faithful irreducible H-module. Assume that U is an intersection of maximal H-submodules of V^t, H^* is a conjugate of H and Z is an $\mathrm{End}_H(V)$-subspace of V. If $V^t/U \cong_H V^{t^*}$ and $d = \dim_{\mathrm{End}_H(V)} Z$, then $UC_{H^*}(Z)$ can be obtained as intersection of $t^* + d$ maximal subgroups of G supplementing V^t.*

Proof. By assumption, there exists t^* maximal H-submodules $W_1, \ldots, W_{t^*}$ of V^t such that $U = W_1 \cap \cdots \cap W_{t^*}$. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_d$ be an $\mathrm{End}_H(V)$-basis of Z. Let A be a maximal H-submodule of V^t containing U : there exists an H-submodule B of V^t such that $V^t = A \times B$. It must be $B \cong_H V$, so let $\phi : V \to B$ be an H-isomorphism and for every $1 \leq i \leq d$, set $b_i := z_i^{\phi}$. For every $1 \leq i \leq t^*$, let $X_i := W_i H^*$ and, for every $1 \leq j \leq d$, let $Y_j := A(H^*)^{b_j}$. It follows from Lemma 15, that

$$(X_1 \cap \cdots \cap X_{t^*}) \cap (Y_1 \cap \cdots \cap Y_d) = (UH^*) \cap (AC_{H^*}(Z)) = UC_{H^*}(Z). \qquad \square$$

3. Bounded intersection and bounded chief factors properties

In this section we will prove that in the class of prosolvable groups the bounded intersection property and the bounded chief factors property are equivalent.

Proof of Theorem 7. We proceed by induction on $|G|$. We may assume $\mathrm{Frat}(G) = 1$. By Lemma 13, we can assume there exists an irreducible G-module V such that $C = R \times D$ with $1 \neq D \cong_G V^t$, where $C = C_G(V)$, $R = R_G(V)$ and $t = \delta_G(V)$. Assume that H is a maximal intersection in G and that every $U \in \mathcal{V}_H$ is a γ-module. We want to prove that there exists a family $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ of maximal subgroups of G such that $H = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_n$ and $|G : M_1| \cdots |G : M_n| \leq |G : H|^{\gamma+1}$.

First assume $D \leq H$. If $M \in \mathcal{M}_V$, then $MD = G$, hence $H \not\leq M$ and consequently $V \notin \mathcal{V}_H$. We may then work module D and conclude by induction. Hence we may assume $D \not\leq H$. We can write

$$H = X_1 \cap \ldots \cap X_\rho \cap Y_1 \cap \ldots \cap Y_\sigma,$$

where $X_1, \ldots, X_\rho$ are maximal subgroups not containing D and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_\sigma$ are maximal subgroups containing D. Notice also that $\{X_1, \ldots, X_\rho\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_V$, and consequently $V \in \mathcal{V}_H$. We define

$$X := X_1 \cap \ldots \cap X_\rho \text{ and } Y := Y_1 \cap \ldots \cap Y_\sigma.$$

By Lemma 14, $R \leq X_i$ for every i, in particular $R \leq X$. By the properties of the crowns, there exists $K \leq G$ such that

$$G/R = C/R \rtimes K/R \cong V^t \rtimes K/R,$$

where V can be seen as an irreducible K-module with $C_K(V) = R$. Note that X/R is an intersection of maximal subgroups of G/R supplementing $C/R \cong_G V^t$, so we may apply Theorem 16: there exists an intersection T/R of maximal G-submodules of C/R, a conjugate K^* of K in G and an $\mathrm{End}_G(V)$-subspace Z of V such that

$$X/R = T/R \rtimes C_{K^*}(Z)/R.$$

Since $V \in \mathcal{V}_H$, there is an $\mathrm{End}_G(V)$-subspace Z^* of Z such that $\dim_{\mathrm{End}_G(V)} Z^* \leq \gamma$ and

$$C_{K^*}(Z) = C_{K^*}(Z^*) \cap (\cap_{M \in \mathcal{M}_Z} M)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_Z$ is the set of the maximal subgroups of K^* containing $C_{K^*}(Z)$. We have $C/T \cong_G V^{t^*}$ for some positive integer t^* and, by Theorem 17, there exists $\alpha \leq t^* + \gamma$ and a family $\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_\alpha$ of maximal subgroups of G containing R and supplementing C such that

$$\tilde{X}_1/R \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{X}_\alpha/R = T/R \rtimes C_{K^*}(Z^*)/R. \text{ i.e. } \tilde{X}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{X}_\alpha = TC_{K^*}(Z^*).$$

Assume now that $\mathcal{M}_Z = \{M_1, \ldots, M_\beta\}$: for every $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, there exists a maximal subgroup $\tilde{Y}_i$ containing C such that $\tilde{Y}_i/R = C/R \rtimes M_i/R$. Notice that

$$\left(\cap_{1 \leq i \leq \alpha} \tilde{X}_i\right) \cap \left(\cap_{1 \leq j \leq \beta} \tilde{Y}_j\right) = (TC_{K^*}(Z^*)) \cap (C\,(\cap_{1 \leq j \leq \beta} M_j)) = TC_{K^*}(Z) = X,$$

hence

$$\tilde{X}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{X}_\alpha \cap \tilde{Y}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{Y}_\beta = X.$$

Let

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{X}_\alpha, \quad \tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{Y}_\beta \cap Y_1 \cap \cdots \cap Y_\sigma.$$

We have $\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y} = X \cap Y = H$. Notice that $\tilde{Y}$ is an intersection of maximal subgroups of G containing D, so by induction there exists τ maximal subgroups $Q_1, \ldots, Q_\tau$ of G such that

$$\tilde{Y} = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \tau} |G : Q_j| \leq |G : \tilde{Y}|^{\gamma+1}.$$

Define $D^* = D \cap X$. Thus, by the Dedekind Law, we have $T = DR \cap \tilde{X} = (D \cap \tilde{X})R = D^*R$, and note that

$$V^{t-t^*} \cong_G T/R \cong_G D^*R/R \cong_G D^*/(D^* \cap R) \cong_G D^*.$$

Moreover $\tilde{Y} \geq D$ implies $\tilde{X}\tilde{Y} \geq \tilde{X}D$, and so $|\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}| \geq |\tilde{X}D|$. Hence,

$$|\tilde{X}||\tilde{Y}|/|\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y}| \geq |\tilde{X}||D|/|\tilde{X} \cap D|,$$

so

$$|\tilde{Y} : \tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y}| \geq |D : \tilde{X} \cap D| = |D : D^*| = |V|^{t^*}.$$

We have

$$H = \tilde{X}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \tilde{X}_\alpha \cap Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_\tau$$

and, since $|G : X_i| = |V|$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, \alpha\}$, $\alpha \leq t^* + \gamma$ and $t^* \geq 1$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} |G : \tilde{X}_i| \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} |G : Q_j| \leq |V|^{t^*+\gamma} |G : \tilde{Y}|^{1+\gamma} \leq (|G : \tilde{Y}||V|^{t^*})^{1+\gamma}$$

$$\leq (|G : \tilde{Y}||\tilde{Y} : \tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y}|)^{1+\gamma} \leq |G : \tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y}|^{1+\gamma} = |G : H|^{1+\gamma},$$

and the theorem follows. □

Proof of Theorem 9. Assume that V is an irreducible G-module G-isomorphic to a non-Frattini chief factor of G and let $H := G/C_G(V)$. The semidirect product $\Gamma = V \rtimes H$ is an epimorphic image of G, so it has the η-intersection property. Let $F = \mathrm{End}_G(V)$ and let W be an F-subspace of V. By Theorem 17, $X = C_H(W)$ is an intersection of maximal subgroups of Γ, so there exists n maximal subgroups $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ of Γ such that $X = X_1 \cap \cdots \cap X_n$ and $|\Gamma : X_1| \cdots |\Gamma : X_n| \leq |\Gamma : X|^\eta$. Let $\overline{X}$ be the intersection of the maximal subgroups of H containing X. We may

assume that X_i contains V if and only if $i > m$: this means that for every $j \leq m$ there exists $v_j \in V$ such that $X_j = H^{v_j}$ while $X_{m+1} \cap \cdots \cap X_n \geq V \rtimes \overline{X}$. In particular

$$C_H(v_1, \ldots, v_m) \cap \overline{X} = H \cap H^{v_1} \cap \cdots \cap H^{v_m} \cap (V \rtimes \overline{X}) \subseteq X,$$

which implies that if W^* is the F-subspace of V spanned by $v_1, \ldots, v_m$, then $C_H(W) = C_H(W^*) \cap (\cap_{M \in \mathcal{M}_W} M)$. By [8] and [9], $|\Gamma| \leq |V|^c$, so

$$|V|^m = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} |\Gamma : X_i| \leq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\Gamma : X_i| \leq |\Gamma : X|^\eta \leq |\Gamma|^\eta \leq |V|^{c \cdot \eta}.$$

Hence $\dim_F(W^*) \leq m \leq \lfloor \eta \cdot c \rfloor$. □

It remains to prove Corollary 12. For this purpose, we need the following observation:

Lemma 18. *Assume that G is a finite solvable group with nilpotent derived subgroup. If V is an irreducible G-module G-isomorphic to a non-Frattini chief factor of G, then* $\dim_{\mathrm{End}_G V} V = 1$.

Proof. We may assume $\mathrm{Frat}(G) = 1$. This means that $G = M \rtimes H$ where H is abelian and $M = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_u$ is the direct product of u irreducible non trivial H-modules $V_1, \ldots, V_u$. Let $F_i = \mathrm{End}_H(V_i) = \mathrm{End}_G(V_i)$: for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, u\}$, V_i is an absolutely irreducible F_iH-module so $\dim_{F_i} V_i = 1$. Now assume that A is a nontrivial irreducible G-module G-isomorphic to a complemented chief factor of G: it must be $A \cong_G V_i$ for some i, so $|\mathrm{End}_G(A)| = |F_i| = |V_i| = |A|$. □

Proof of Corollary 12. Let G be a finitely generated prosolvable group with pronilpotent derived subgroup. By Lemma 18, every non-Frattini chief factor of G is a 1-module, so, by Theorem 7, G has the 2-intersection property. The conclusion follows from Proposition 4. □

4. Prosupersolvable groups

Recall that the Dirichlet Theorem on arithmetic progressions states that for any two positive coprime integers a and b, there exist infinitely many primes which are congruent to a modulo b. In particular, the arithmetic progression $\{1 + r \cdot 2^n \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains infinitely many primes. This implies that there exists a strictly ascending sequence $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of primes with the property that 2^n divides $p_n - 1$ and $p_{n+1} > 2^n \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n$.

Let V_m be a 1-dimensional vector space over F_m, where F_m is the field with p_m elements, and $H_n := \langle x_n \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order 2^n . We can define an action of H_n on V_m, for every $m \leq n$, as follows: if $v \in V_m$, then $v^{x_n} := \zeta_m v$, where ζ_m is an element of order 2^m in F_m^*. Note that $C_{H_n}(V_m) = \langle x_n^{2^m} \rangle$. Consider the following finite supersolvable group:

$$G_n = (V_1 \times \ldots \times V_n) \rtimes H_n.$$

Let us describe the maximal subgroups of G_n. Let $W = V_1 \times \ldots \times V_n$ and for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, set $W_i := V_1 \times \ldots \times V_{i-1} \times V_{i+1} \times \ldots \times V_n$. First note that $M = W \rtimes \langle x_n^2 \rangle$ is the unique maximal subgroup of G containing W. The other maximal subgroups of G_n are the semidirect products $W_i \rtimes H_n^v$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $v_i \in V$, so we have precisely p_i maximal subgroups of index p_i for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider now the maximal subgroups $M_1 = W_i \rtimes H_n^{v_1}$ and $M_2 := W_i \rtimes H_n^{v_2}$ with $v_1, v_2 \in V_i$.

If $v_1 \neq v_2$, then $M_1 \neq M_2$ and, by Lemma 15, $M_1 \cap M_2 = W_i \rtimes \langle x_n^{2^i} \rangle$, since $\langle x_n^{2^i} \rangle = C_{H_n}(v)$ for every $0 \neq v \in V_i$. These considerations imply that a subgroup H of G_n can be expressed as intersection of maximal subgroups of G if and only if it is conjugated to one of the following subgroups:

(1) $X_J = \left(\prod_{j \notin J} V_j\right) \rtimes H_n$, where J is a non-empty subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$; note that $|G_n : X_J| = \prod_{j \in J} p_j$.
(2) $Y_J = \left(\prod_{j \notin J} V_j\right) \rtimes \langle x_n^2 \rangle$, where J is a non-empty subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$; note that $|G_n : Y_J| = 2 \cdot \prod_{j \in J} p_j$.
(3) $Z_{J,i} = \left(\prod_{j \notin J} V_j)\right) \rtimes \langle x_n^{2^i} \rangle$, where $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and J is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ containing i. Note that $|G_n : Y_{Z,i}| = 2^i \cdot \prod_{j \in J} p_j$.

By [4, Theorem 1.5], if K is a subgroup of G with $\mu(K, G_n) \neq 0$, then there exists a family of maximal subgroups $M_1, \dots, M_t$ of G_n such that $K = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_t$ and $|G_n : K| = |G_n : M_1| \cdots |G_n : M_t|$. The subgroups $Z_{J,i}$ do not have this property, hence $\mu(Z_{J,i}, G_n) = 0$ for all the possible choices of J and i. It follows that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{2^n \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n}(G_n) = 2^n - 1 + 2^n + (n-2) \cdot 2^{n-1} = 2^{n-1} \cdot (n+2) - 1,$$
$$\tilde{\beta}_{2^n \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n}(G_n) \leq 2^{n+1} - 1$$

and consequently

$$\frac{\tilde{\beta}_{2^n \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n}(G_n)}{\tilde{\gamma}_{2^n \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n}(G_n)} \leq \frac{4}{n+2}.$$

Now consider the inverse limit $G = \varprojlim_n G_n$. Note that G is a 2-generated prosupersolvable group, with $G \cong (\prod_i V_i) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, being $\mathbb{Z}_2$ the group of the 2-adic integer. Let $n^* = 2^n \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n$. The condition $p_{n+1} > 2^n \cdot p_1 \cdots p_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, implies that if H is an open subgroup of G with $|G : H| > n^*$, then $\left(\prod_{j>n} V_j\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^{2^n} \leq H$ and consequently $\tilde{\beta}_{n^*}(G) = \tilde{\beta}_{n^*}(G_n)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{n^*}(G) = \tilde{\gamma}_{n^*}(G_n)$. This implies

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_n(G)}{\tilde{\gamma}_n(G)} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{n^*}(G)}{\tilde{\gamma}_{n^*}(G)} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{4}{n+2} = 0.$$

References

1. A. Ballester-Bolinches and L. M. Ezquerro, Classes of finite groups, Mathematics and Its Applications (Springer), vol. 584, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
2. E. Detomi and A. Lucchini, Crowns and factorization of the probabilistic zeta function of a finite group, J. Algebra, 265 (2003), no. 2, 651–668.
3. W. Gaschütz, Praefrattinigruppen, Arch. Mat. 13, 418–426 (1962)
4. A. Lucchini, Subgroups of Solvable Groups with Non-Zero Möbius Function, J. Group Theory 10 (2007), 633-639.
5. A. Mann, Positively finitely generated groups, Forum Math. 8 (1996), no. 4, 429–459.
6. L. E. Mattics and F. W. Dodd, A bound for the number of multiplicative partitions, Amer. Math. Monthly 93 (1986), no. 2, 125–126.
7. A. Mann and A. Shalev, Simple groups, maximal subgroups, and probabilistic aspects of profinite groups, Israel J. Math. 96 (1996), part B, 449–468.
8. P. P. Pálfy, A polynomial bound for the orders of primitive solvable groups. J. Algebra 77 (1982), no. 1, 127–137.
9. T. R. Wolf, Solvable and nilpotent subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q^m)$, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 34 (1982), 1097–1111.

Università degli Studi di Padova, Dipartimento di Matematica "Tullio Levi-Civita",
Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy, lucchini@math.unipd.it, delasherasiker@gmail.com